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In Cognitive Psychology and Information Processing, we have a book that presents cognitive psychology in its historical context. The authors of the book use the relatively new philosophical views of Kuhn and Lakatos to analyze the contributions that such disciplines as behaviorism, verbal learning, linguistics, and information theory have made to cognitive psychology. This philosophical analysis enhances the presentation of the field rather than detracts from it, and gives a sense of continuity and depth that is often lacking in books of this level. Furthermore, the emphasis on philosophical analysis and historical context allays the puzzlement often expressed by students as to why we study cognition the way we do. We believe that this book will prove to be a good text at the upper undergraduate and graduate levels because cognitive psychology is presented in reasonable breadth (attention, memory, psycholinguistics, discourse processing), and because the philosophical analysis provides a firm basis for discussion, even if the instructor disagrees with it. (We happen to agree.)

The authors present, in several instances through the book, reconstructions of the factors responsible for the rise and fall of theories or paradigms. Some of the factors examined are the role of pretheoretical ideas in developing and guiding research paradigms, the effect on researchers of repeated experimental or theoretical failures of a paradigm, and the effect of the failure of a paradigm to encompass problems considered important by the research community. We believe that there are other factors that are not discussed in the book, factors that are difficult to evaluate and do not rightly fit into a rational reconstruction (see Feyerabend's book, Against Method, for a philosophical analysis of such factors). For example, the direction of a field of research is often under the effective control of a moderately small group of scientists and this small group decides which articles will be published and which grants will be funded. Advantages are often given to established researchers, from whom occasional failures are more likely to be tolerated and novel ideas more likely accepted. One also wonders how the direction of a field of research is affected by the availability of research money. Will the current inflow of Sloan Foundation funds truly lead to the emergence of a new field, cognitive science, or will everyone do more or less what they have been doing, calling it cognitive science? In some respects, the field of cognitive psychology behaves like the stock market. There was a great amount of effort put into research on the Stemberg paradigm; then there seemed to be a lack of confidence, and work within that paradigm diminished. This left a lot of computers out of work, however, and one
search of Clark and other psycholinguists, and the lack of application of Tulving's encoding specificity principle to cued-recall psycholinguistic experiments (many of which are interpreted as showing encoding effects, but the effects could with equal likelihood be retrieval effects).

From the point of view of an instructor using this book as the major text for an upper undergraduate course, several considerations arise. First, the book is readable and the organization of the material is usually clear. The authors' detailed summaries of each chapter are invaluable and, in fact, are so complete that the rushed or lazy student (or instructor) may read little else and not suffer unduly. Secondly, the philosophical foundations presented throughout all chapters save the instructor some of the usual difficulties students find in cognition transfer into list-learning experiments. On the other hand, the instructor will need to provide some details of experimental methodology and design as well as some aspects of theoretical models and predictions, in contrast to more detailed yet somewhat narrower handbooks such as those by Murdock and by Crowder.

Despite the shortcomings mentioned above, this book will be a most useful text and reference book. It should also be useful as an overview for scientists in other disciplines, and for philosophers of science as a case study of a new but developing science.